Conflict in the pre-industrial landscape of England: a resource assessment

Glenn Foard

June 2008

Copyright University of Leeds © 2008

Contents

List of contents ii Summary and acknowledgements iv		
1	Introduction	1
2	The value of the resource Classifications Potential Interpretation Commemoration	4
3	Defining the resource Specific assessment Case studies and supporting analysis	15
4	Investigating fields of conflict Methodology Location Reconstruction of historic terrain Placing events within historic terrain Validation of hypotheses using archaeology Reassessment Historic terrain Deployments within historic terrain Battle archaeology Artefact distribution patterns Taphonomy and battlefield finds Recovery of artefacts Mass graves Towards integrated study	24
5	The character of the resource	60
	Prehistoric warfare Roman Kalkriese Sieges and other actions	64 64
	Early medieval Maldon Heavenfield	74
	On locating early medieval battlefields Later medieval warfare Historic terrain Barnet Bosworth Battle archaeology Towton Wider issues Strategy	84 82
	Transition: the origins of firepower Assessment The Scottish Border Naval raids, rebellions and civil unrest Priorities	118

	ns of the 15 th and Lead bullets for a Bullets for handc Background nois Roundshot Hailshot ntury case studies St Albans II	arquebus annon e
- ,	Dussindale	
Early m	Management nee Skirmishes Battles Historic terrain Battle archaeolog Taphonomy of bu Further priorities	elated evidence ibution rom impacts and ricochets eds gy ullets – terrain case study
Managing	fields of confli US experience Threats	ct
	Developn Conter lar Cultivatio Contamin Metal de Battlefield investi Information and g Battlefields Regis	Sedgemoor case study Adwalton Moor case study and use and related changes Cropredy on and soil chemistry nation tecting Rallies Other treasure hunting threats igation guidance

7 Conclusions and recommendations

Appendices

- I List of English battles
 - I.i Battles by name
 - I.ii Battles by year
 - I.iii Numbers engaged
 - I.iv Bibliographic score
- II Books used for initial classification of importance
- III Battlefield metal detecting survey guidelines
- IV Percentages of fields of conflict on each HER
- V Lists of battles considered for the Register
- VI Battlefields Trust policy and guidance for metal detecting
- VII Guidance on the processing and storage of metal artefacts recovered in battlefield survey
- VIII Working with lead: health and safety considerations
- XI Proposal for survey at Morton Corbet Castle, Shropshire
- X Pilot literature enhancement

Summary and acknowledgements

This project set out to ascertain how material evidence for military action¹ and the landscape in which it took place can be better integrated into the investigation and management of the UK's historic environment.

Whilst the project is concerned with England, it fits into an evolving context of existing work in Scotland and prospective work in Wales, the three elements together being planned towards an integrated whole. The project's objectives were to:

- define the resource
- identify research potential
- develop a research framework for investigation
- develop a methodology for assessment
- define a framework for conservation

The project was planned in two stages. Phase One, upon which this volume reports, was designed as a resource assessment focussing primarily upon battles, involving the preparation of a gazetteer, an assessment of condition and potential with more detailed field examination of a number of representative examples, leading to a report that would include a design for Phase Two. The design of Phase One built on substantial experience already gained in Scotland; its outputs are offered to inform policy and assist management of land use change, the work of HERs, planners, and the Portable Antiquities Scheme.

Phase Two will include more advanced pilot projects to advance investigation aspects, further publication and training outputs and will extend the scope of the assessment more fully into the area of sieges, which are an essential complement to battles in the understanding of historic warfare on land.

The report has been researched and written by Glenn Foard, with extensive editorial help from Richard Morris. Comments and guidance have been provided by

¹ Military action is taken to embrace battles, sieges, skirmishes and events of civil unrest. Because of the very different character of warfare in the industrial period, the project's younger boundary was set at the end of the 18th century. Naval action is excluded, although the value of wrecks in providing closed assemblages of weapons and munitions is recognised.

an advisory panel consisting of Professor John Childs (Chair), Dr Paul Stamper, Rob Janaway, Dr Karen Watts and Michael Rayner. Aleksandra Maclain carried out the local bibliographic searches for Cumbria and the West Riding of Yorkshire. Funding was provided by English Heritage and the University of Leeds with in-kind contributions from the Battlefields Trust.

Alongside original work undertaken specifically for this project, the report has had the good fortune to draw upon Battlefields Trust surveys in progress at several battlefields, notably Edgehill and Bosworth. Other contributions have been made by David Hall on open field systems, by Rob Janaway on taphonomy, and Rodney Burton on soils. Simon Richardson and Tim Sutherland have also given valuable advice on Towton. J Baker, S Brookes, D Parsons and A Reynolds have assisted on approaches to the location of early medieval battlefields and very helpfully contributed a note on the implications of their work for this project. Charles Haecker arranged visits to and fieldwork on battlefield sites in the USA. Douglas Scott has advised on the investigation and management of battlefields in the USA. Susanne Wilbers-Rost for advice on and tour of Kalkriese. Iain Sanderson for information on Adwalton Moor, Tony Pollard on Sedgemoor and Alex Hildred for access to the Mary Rose material. All HER officers and a number of Finds Liaison Officers have provided information.

Additional editorial and other help was given by Jane Morris, Axel Müller, Tracey Partida, Steven Moorhouse, and members of staff of the Institute for Medieval Studies, University of Leeds.

Glenn Foard Creaton and Leeds, July 2008